

Evaluation 4 Steps of Evaluation

Lyssa Wilson Becho | September 2020 | www.evalu-ate.org

Ask important questions

about a project's processes and outcomes.

Use and report results

for accountability, improvement, and planning.

Gather evidence

that will help answer those questions.

Interpret data

and answer the evaluation questions.





Evaluation Responsibility Diagram

Lyssa Wilson Becho | September 2020 | www.evalu-ate.org

Project Team

Maintain records of participants and partners

Document project activities and accomplishments

External Evaluator

Plan the evaluation

Collect data

Interpret results

Develop/ select data collection instruments

Analyze data

Write reports

Facilitate use of results







This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under grant number 1600992. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views of NSF.

An evaluation plan should include a clear description of what data will be collected, from what sources and how, by whom, and when, as well as how the data will be analyzed. Placing this information in a matrix helps ensure that there is a viable plan for collecting all the data necessary to answer each evaluation question and that all collected data will serve a specific, intended purpose. The table below may be copied into another document, such as a grant proposal, and edited/ expanded as needed. An example is provided on the next page.

Evaluation Question:							
Indicator	Data Source and Methods	Responsible Party	Timing	Analysis Plan	Interpretation		

If space is limited, such as in a National Science Foundation proposal, fewer columns may be used. It is most critical to include the evaluation questions, indicators, data sources and methods, and timing.

DEFINITIONS

Evaluation Questions are overarching questions about a project's quality or impact. The number of evaluation questions depends on the scope and purpose of the evaluation; 3 to 7 questions is typical. Questions should address both project implementation and outcomes.

Indicators are specific pieces of information about an aspect of a project—basically, what will be measured in order to answer the evaluation questions. It is useful to use multiple indicators to address an evaluation question, including qualitative and quantitative data.

Data Sources are the entities from which data will be collected. Typical data sources for ATE evaluations include project personnel, students, graduates, faculty, project partners, business and industry representatives, institutional records, website usage statistics, and teaching and learning artifacts.

Data Collection Methods are the means by which information will be gathered. Typical methods include surveys, focus groups, interviews, observations, and institutional database queries.

Responsible Parties are the individuals or organizations tasked with collecting the needed information. In many cases, data collection requires cooperation among multiple entities. For example, an external evaluator may be responsible for an administering a survey, but a member of the project staff may need to supply the contact information.

Timing identifies when and how frequently data will be collected (e.g., at events, quarterly, annually). It is important to identify approximately when data collection will take place to ensure the information will be obtained when needed for reporting purposes and decision making and that the data collection schedule is conducive to other things taking place in project's context (e.g., other major data collection activities, semester schedules).

Analysis Plan how the quantitative and qualitative data will be summarized into meaningful, usable information.

Interpretation is how the analyzed data will be used to reach conclusions related to the evaluation guestions.

EXAMPLE

Evaluation Question: To what extent are students using education pathways established by the project?							
Indicator	Data Source and Methods	Responsible Party	Timing	Analysis	Interpretation		
Number of high school students enrolled in the college's wind energy technology courses	Institutional data	Project director obtains from institutional research office	End of each semester	Counts	Comparison with project target of 10 per semester		
Percentage of dual- enrolled high school students who intend to pursue wind technology degrees or certificates	Survey of dual- enrolled students	External evaluator develops survey and conducts analyses; faculty administer survey	End of each semester	Descriptive statistics, disaggregated by demographic characteristics	Comparison with project target of 60% or more, , with one-third or more from underrepresented minority groups		
Students' perceptions of what affects their education or career interests	Focus group with	External evaluator	End of each spring semester	Inductive coding to determine factors that increase or suppress interest in wind technology	Identify which, if any, factors can be influenced by the program		
Percentage of students who began has dual- enrolled who graduate with wind technology degrees or certificates	Institutional data	Project director obtains from institutional research office	End of each semester after first cohort is eligible to receive degree or certificate	Descriptive statistics, disaggregated by demographic characteristics	Comparison with project target of 40% or more, with one-third or more from underrepresented minority groups		



Logic Model Template for ATE Projects & Centers

by Lori A. Wingate | March 2016



This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under grant number 1204683. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views of NSF.

A logic model is a visual depiction of what a project does and what changes it is expected to bring about. Developing a logic model is an important first step for project design and evaluation planning. This document is intended to provide general guidance to ATE program proposers and grantees for developing their own project logic models. All parts of this document are editable. Populate the boxes in each column (adding and deleting boxes as necessary) with succinct statements that relate to the question prompts. To add text to a box, select the box and begin typing. Either delete the extra content (title, instructions, examples, etc.) from this document or copy-and-paste the logic model elements into a new document for your use. To learn more about logic models, see the University of Wisconsin-Extension's Logic Model Resources at www.uwex.edu/ces/pdande/evaluation/evallogicmodel.html.

What are the What products What will occur as What results What results What new and main things the should follow will be created? a direct result of should follow existing resources will be project will do? (typically, things the activities and from the initial from the initial used to support that can be directly outputs? outcomes? outcomes? the project? observed and that (typically, changes (typically, changes (typically, changes will continue to in knowledge, in broader in behavior, skills, attitudes) exist after the policies, practice) conditions) project ends) Short-Term Mid-Term Long-Term Activities Outputs Inputs **Outcomes Outcomes Outcomes**

Below are examples the types of information that might appear under each header of the logic model. When developing a project logic model, be as specific as possible in articulating the components of the model. For example, a project-specific short-term outcome might be phrased as "learners will be able to install, maintain, and troubleshoot high-vacuum systems."

- NSF funding
- Faculty
- Advisory panel
- **Industry partners**
- In-kind contributions
- · Establish regional partnerships
- Develop curriculum
- Conduct workshops
- Provide research/field experiences
- Establish articulation agreement
- Curriculum materials developed
- Policies created
 - **Publications issued**
 - New certifications
 - · Tools/resources
- Faculty learn to use instructional
- technology
- Students gain technical skills
- Students' interest in technical careers increases
- Students persist in their programs
- Faculty improve instruction
- Colleges adopt and implement projectdeveloped curriculum
- Increased regional economic vitality
- Increased diversity in the technical workforce
- A more highly skilled and adaptable workforce



Summary: Checklist for Program Evaluation Report Content

Kelly N. Robertson and Lori A. Wingate

Title Page	Program Description	□ Timeline		
☐ Title	☐ Goals and/or objectives	☐ Data management		
☐ Recipient(s)	☐ Funder and funding	☐ Data analysis		
☐ Author(s)	☐ Organizations involved	☐ Interpretation		
☐ Date	Intended beneficiaries	Limitations		
Preferred citation	☐ Program design	Evaluation Results		
Acknowledgments Contributors	☐ Context ☐ History	Although only two items are listed below, this section will		
Table of Contents ☐ Headings ☐ Page numbers	Evaluation Background ☐ Purpose and intended use ☐ Scope ☐ Stakeholder engagement	likely be the longest, because it includes the most important and substantive information. Organize results by evaluation		
List of Tables and Figures Include if five or more are in the report.	Responsiveness to culture and contextBudget	questions or criteria. ☐ Findings ☐ Conclusions		
☐ Titles ☐ Page numbers	Evaluation teamPrior evaluation	Recommendations ☐ Development process		
List of Acronyms Include if five or more are in the report. Definitions	Evaluation Methods Although several items are listed below, this should not be the longest section of the report.	Recommendations for the programRecommendations for future evaluations		
Executive Summary Most important content (key findings, conclusions, and	ApproachEvaluation questionsCriteriaIndicators	☐ Ideas for consideration References ☐ Sources		
recommendations)	☐ Data sources☐ Data source selection	Appendices ☐ Data collection materials		
Introduction	(census or sampling)	List of reviewed documents		
Overview	☐ Sample size and description	or artifacts		
Structure	☐ Data collection methods	Supplementary data or		
Intended audience	☐ Data collection procedures	findings.		
Purpose and intended use	Instruments			



This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. 1600992. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation.